

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Glutamate receptor antagonists for tinnitus (Protocol)

Imsuwansri T, Hoare DJ, Phaisaltuntiwongs W, Srisubat A, Snidvongs K

Imsuwansri T, Hoare DJ, Phaisaltuntiwongs W, Srisubat A, Snidvongs K. Glutamate receptor antagonists for tinnitus.

*Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD012391.

*DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012391.

www.cochranelibrary.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
BACKGROUND	1
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	7
REFERENCES	7
ADDITIONAL TABLES	. 1
APPENDICES	. 1
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	4
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	4
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	4

Glutamate receptor antagonists for tinnitus

Thanarath Imsuwansri¹, Derek J Hoare², Wanasri Phaisaltuntiwongs³, Attasit Srisubat¹, Kornkiat Snidvongs⁴

¹Institute of Medical Research and Technology Assessment, Dept of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Nonthaburi, Thailand. ²NIHR Nottingham Hearing Biomedical Research Unit, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK. ³Otorhinolaryngology Section, Sirindhorn Hospital, Medical Service Department, Bangkok, Thailand. ⁴Department of Otolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Contact address: Thanarath Imsuwansri, Institute of Medical Research and Technology Assessment, Dept of Medical Services, Ministry of Public Health, Tiwanon, Nonthaburi, 11000, Thailand. drthanarath@gmail.com.

Editorial group: Cochrane ENT Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 10, 2016.

Citation: Imsuwansri T, Hoare DJ, Phaisaltuntiwongs W, Srisubat A, Snidvongs K. Glutamate receptor antagonists for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD012391. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012391.

Copyright © 2016 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

This is a protocol for a Cochrane Review (Intervention). The objectives are as follows:

To evaluate the effects and safety of glutamate receptor antagonist medications prescribed for tinnitus.

BACKGROUND

The following paragraphs and Description of the condition are based on the Cochrane Review'Amplification with hearing aids for patients with tinnitus and co-existing hearing loss' and reproduced with permission (Hoare 2014).

Tinnitus is defined as the perception of sound in the absence of an external source (Jastreboff 2004). It is typically described by those who experience it as a ringing, hissing, buzzing or whooshing sound and is thought to result from abnormal neural activity at some point or points in the auditory pathway, which is erroneously interpreted by the brain as sound. Tinnitus can be either objective or subjective. Objective tinnitus refers to the perception of sound that can also be heard by the examiner and is usually due to blood flow or muscle movement (Eggermont 2010). Most commonly, however, tinnitus is subjective; the sound is only heard by the person experiencing it and no source of the sound is identified (Jastreboff 1988). Subjective tinnitus affects 10% of the general population, increasing to as many as 30% of adults over the

age of 50 years (Davis 2000; Møller 2000). It can be experienced acutely, recovering spontaneously within minutes to weeks, but is considered chronic and unlikely to resolve spontaneously when experienced for six months or more (Hahn 2008; Rief 2005). In England alone there are an estimated ¾ million GP consultations every year where the primary complaint is tinnitus (El-Shunnar 2011), equating to a major burden on healthcare services. For many people tinnitus is persistent and troublesome, and has disabling effects such as insomnia, difficulty concentrating, difficulties in communication and social interaction, and negative emotional responses such as anxiety and depression (Andersson 2009; Crönlein 2007; Marciano 2003). In approximately 90% of cases, chronic tinnitus is co-morbid with some degree of hearing loss, which may confound these disabling effects (Fowler 1944; Sanchez 2002). An important implication in clinical research therefore is that outcome measures of benefit need to distinguish benefits specific to improved hearing from those specific to tinnitus.

Description of the condition

Diagnosis and clinical management of tinnitus

There is no standard procedure for the diagnosis or management of tinnitus. There are, however, recent guidelines for doing so from the UK Department of Health (Department of Health 2009) and the international organisation, the Tinnitus Research Initiative (Biesinger 2011). Both guidelines recommend that tinnitus and its impact on the person are assessed using validated questionnaire measures of severity, quality of life, depression or anxiety. Psychoacoustic measures of tinnitus (pitch, loudness, minimum masking level) are also recommended. Although these do not correlate well with tinnitus severity (Hiller 2006), they can prove useful in patient counselling (Henry 2004), or to demonstrate stability of the tinnitus percept over time (Department of Health 2009). Recommended clinical management strategies include directive counselling, relaxation therapy, tinnitus retraining therapy (TRT), cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), sound enrichment using earlevel sound generators or hearing aids, and drug therapies to manage co-morbid symptoms such as insomnia, anxiety or depression (Department of Health 2009). All show variable efficacy and have little known risk of adverse effects (Hoare 2011; Hobson 2010; Martinez-Devesa 2010; Phillips 2010). Where there is a hearing loss and tinnitus, the most common recommendation is to fit a hearing aid, although this practice also varies according to clinical experience and anecdotal evidence. For example, there is a clearly divided opinion among clinicians as to whether or not a hearing aid should be recommended to someone with a mild or higherfrequency hearing loss that ordinarily might go unaided (Hoare 2012).

Pathophysiology

Most people with chronic tinnitus have some degree of hearing loss (Ratnayake 2009), and the prevalence of tinnitus increases with increased hearing loss (Han 2009; Martines 2010). The varying theories of tinnitus generation involve either changes in function or activity of the peripheral (cochlea and auditory nerve) or central auditory nervous systems (Henry 2005). Theories involving the peripheral systems include the discordant damage theory, which predicts that the loss of outer hair cell (OHC) function where inner hair cell (IHC) function is left intact leads to a release from inhibition of IHC and aberrant activity (typically hyperactivity) in the auditory nerve (Jastreboff 1990). Such aberrant auditory nerve activity can also have a biochemical basis, resulting from excitotoxicity or stress-induced enhancement of IHC glutamate release with upregulation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (Guitton 2003; Sahley 2001). In the central auditory system, structures implicated as possible sites of tinnitus generation include the dorsal cochlear nucleus (Middleton 2011; Pilati 2012), the inferior colliculus (Dong 2010; Mulders 2010), and the auditory and non-auditory cortex (discussed further below). There is a strong rationale to say that it is a direct consequence of maladaptive neuroplastic responses to hearing loss (Møller 2000; Mühlnickel 1998). This process is triggered by sensory deafferentation and a release from lateral inhibition in the central auditory system allowing irregular spontaneous hyperactivity within the central neuronal networks involved in sound processing (Eggermont 2004; Rauschecker 1999; Seki 2003). As a consequence of this hyperactivity, a further physiological change noted in tinnitus patients is an increased spontaneous synchronous activity occurring at the cortical level, measurable using electroencephalography (EEG) or magnetoencephalography (MEG) (Dietrich 2001; Tass 2012; Weisz 2005).

Another physiological change thought to be involved in tinnitus generation is a process of functional reorganisation, which amounts to a change in the response properties of neurons within the primary auditory cortex to external sounds. This effect is well demonstrated physiologically in animal models of hearing loss (Engineer 2011; Noreña 2005). Evidence in humans, however, is limited to behavioural evidence of cortical reorganisation after hearing loss demonstrating improved frequency discrimination ability at the audiometric edge (Kluk 2006; McDermott 1998; Moore 2009; Thai-Van 2002; Thai-Van 2003), although Buss 1998 did not find this effect. For comprehensive reviews of these physiological models, see Adjamian 2009 and Noreña 2011. It is also proposed that spontaneous hyperactivity could cause an increase in sensitivity or 'gain' at the level of the cortex, whereby neural sensitivity adapts to the reduced sensory inputs, in effect stabilising mean firing and neural coding efficiency (Noreña 2011; Schaette 2006; Schaette 2011). However, such adaptive changes would be achieved at the cost of amplifying 'neural noise' due to the overall increase in sensitivity, ultimately resulting in the generation of tinnitus.

Increasingly, non-auditory areas of the brain, particularly areas associated with emotional processing, are also implicated in the maintenance of bothersome tinnitus (Rauschecker 2010; Vanneste 2012). Vanneste 2012 recently described tinnitus as "an emergent property of multiple parallel dynamically changing and partially overlapping sub-networks", implicating the involvement of many structures of the brain more associated with memory and emotional processing in tinnitus generation. Identification of the structural components of individual neural networks responsible for either tinnitus generation or tinnitus intrusiveness, which are independent of those for hearing loss, remains open to future research, however (Melcher 2013). One further complication in understanding the pathophysiology of tinnitus is that not all people with hearing loss have tinnitus, and not all people with tinnitus have a clinically significant hearing loss. There are possible explanations for this, however. For example, König 2006 found that the maximum slope within audiograms was higher in people with tinnitus than in people with hearing loss who do not have tinnitus, despite their 'non-tinnitus' group having the greater mean hearing loss. This suggests that a contrast in sensory inputs between regions of normal and elevated threshold may be more likely to result in rinning. Additional GluR antagonists may be identified during the searches for this review and we will also consider these.

Description of the intervention

Glutamate is the main excitatory neurotransmitter in the hearing brain. The agonistic mechanism of action of glutamate in the central nervous system is mostly mediated by ionotropic receptors (iGluR), namely N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) (Kornhuber 1999) and α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA), and metabotropic receptors (mGluR) (Akirav 2007; Myers 2011; Zushida 2007). Both NMDA and AMPA receptors have been associated with the spontaneous and sound-induced depolarisation patterns of the hearing brain (Ehrenberger 1995; Kelly 2000). In the cochlea, only mGluR have been identified (Ehrenberger 1995).

Several neurodegenerative diseases, such as Huntington's, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease, are associated with chronic malfunctioning of the glutamate systems in the brain. Its overproduction in the central nervous system has toxic effects (Eggermont 2004), whereby the over-activation of glutamate receptors leads to neuronal cell death (Choi 1990). Blocking glutamate receptors with glutamate receptor antagonists therefore has protective effects (Udilova 2003) against high levels of glutamate (Clifford 1990; Lancelot 1998; Sperk 1994), and they have been used therapeutically for a number of conditions (Elgoyhen 2010). For tinnitus, it has been hypothesised that the excessive release of glutamate between the inner hair cell and the terminal fibres of the auditory nerve at the synaptic cleft, as a result of noise exposure, for example, may generate tinnitus (Figueiredo 2008). Excess glutamate may lead to increased expression of glutamate receptors, making cells increasingly sensitive to the excitatory neurotransmitter, resulting ultimately in excitotoxicity. Glutamate receptor antagonists therefore are a theoretically logical approach to breaking this 'cycle'. The intended main purpose of glutamate receptor antagonists is to reduce tinnitus-related activity in the brain and thereby reduce symptom severity. This may be evidenced by a reduction in or extinction of tinnitus-related distress, anxiety or insomnia. To date, a number of drugs with glutamate receptor antagonist properties, including acamprosate, caroverine, memantine and flupirtine, have been indicated for tinnitus (Azevedo 2007; Denk 1997; Ehrenberger 2005; Salembier 2006). Depending on the drug, the possible adverse effects include gastrointestinal upset (diarrhoea, gastric colic or nausea (Quint 2002; Sass 1996); dry mouth and pruritus (Herrmann 1986); and central nervous system (CNS) effects including hallucinations, lightheadedness, dizziness, fatigue, headache, out-of-body sensation, nightmares and sensory changes (Chizh 2005; Herrmann 1986; Sass 1996). Drug intolerance is frequently associated with hallucination or dissociative mental state (Chizh 2005).

How the intervention might work

When inner hair cells are damaged, for example by noise exposure, ototoxic drugs or as a result of disease, there is an excess production of glutamate, and hyperactivation and over-expression of NMDA glutamate receptors in the cochlea resulting in excitotoxicity (Pujol 1993). This triggers excessive entry of calcium into the primary auditory neurons, with subsequent osmotic swelling and cell lysis. Glutamate receptor antagonists and agonists of GABA receptors decrease excitatory glutamatergic activity, enhance inhibitory GABAergic activity in the auditory pathways and attenuate the influx of calcium. Glutamate receptor antagonists could therefore be useful for treating tinnitus.

Why it is important to do this review

At present, there is no standard treatment modality for tinnitus. In fact, current practice guidelines recommend against the routine use of any medications such as antidepressants or anxiolytics for tinnitus (Tunkel 2014). A drug that affects change in tinnitus-generating neural activity is needed.

The finding of excitotoxic effects in the auditory pathways has increased attention on the potential for treating tinnitus by blocking glutamate binding sites (Puel 1998). Glutamate receptor antagonists are therefore a potentially useful and logical approach to drug therapy for tinnitus, but they have not been subjected to a systematic review as a drug class in their own right. Furthermore there are sub-analyses and meta-analyses that need to be conducted to provide an authoritative review in the field.

Ultimately, a drug treatment for tinnitus is desirable. This review will support the field of drug development and drug trials in tinnitus.

OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the effects and safety of glutamate receptor antagonist medications prescribed for tinnitus.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised, quasi-randomised or cross-over controlled trials.

Types of participants

Inclusion criteria

Participants of any age presenting with subjective self-reported tinnitus of any duration (less than six months/six months or more).

Exclusion criteria

- Objective tinnitus
- Conductive or mixed type hearing loss
- Middle ear disorders
- Temporomandibular joint disorders
- Tumour in origin
- · Previous mastoid surgery

Types of interventions

We will include studies using any dosage or route of administration of glutamate receptor antagonists.

Comparators are:

- placebo;
- no treatment (receiving neither placebo nor any alternative treatments);
- alternative therapies (hearing aids, sound generators, tinnitus retraining therapy (TRT) (these will be pooled in meta-analyses), cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), counselling, education/information only (these will be pooled in meta-analyses)).

Co-intervention will be allowed if given to both study arms such that any difference in effects can be considered to be due to the drug component of treatment.

The main possible comparison pair is:

• glutamate receptor antagonists versus placebo.

Other possible comparison pairs are:

- glutamate receptor antagonists versus no treatment;
- glutamate receptor antagonists *versus* sound therapy (hearing aids, sound generators, TRT);
- glutamate receptor antagonists *versus* psychotherapy (CBT, counselling, education/information only).

Types of outcome measures

We will analyse these outcomes in the review, but they will not be used as a basis for including or excluding studies.

We will measure short-term outcomes at any time point less than four weeks and we will measure long-term outcomes at any time point after four weeks.

Primary outcomes

- Tinnitus-specific health-related quality of life, measured as the global score on a validated multi-item tinnitus questionnaire, such as those listed in Table 1.
- Significant adverse effect: hallucination or dissociative mental state.

Secondary outcomes

- Health-related quality of life, as measured with validated multi-item questionnaires, such as the HUI-3, EQ-5D or SF-36.
- Generalised anxiety, as measured by validated multi-item questionnaires, such as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) or Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI).
- Generalised depression, as measured by validated multiitem questionnaires, such as the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) or Beck Depression Inventory (BDI).
- Other adverse effects (the expected adverse effects are headache and gastrointestinal effects).

We will aim to measure acute responses at two weeks and longterm responses at three months.

Search methods for identification of studies

The Cochrane ENT Information Specialist will conduct systematic searches for randomised controlled trials and controlled clinical trials. There will be no language, publication year or publication status restrictions. We may contact original authors for clarification and further data if trial reports are unclear and we will arrange translations of papers where necessary.

Electronic searches

Published, unpublished and ongoing studies will be identified by searching the following databases from their inception:

- Cochrane Register of Studies Online (search to date);
- PubMed (1946 to date);
- Ovid EMBASE (1974 to date);
- EBSCO CINAHL (1982 to date);
- Ovid CAB abstracts (1910 to date);
- LILACS (search to date);
- KoreaMed (search to date);
- IndMed (search to date);
- PakMediNet (search to date);
- Web of Knowledge, Web of Science (1945 to date);
- CNKI (searched via Google Scholar to date);
- ClinicalTrials.gov, www.clinicaltrials.gov (search via the

Cochrane Register of Studies to date);

 World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP), www.who.int/ictrp (search to date);

- ISRCTN, www.isrctn.com (search to date);
- Google Scholar, scholar.google.co.uk (search to date);
- Google, www.google.com (search to date).

The subject strategies for databases will be modelled on the search strategy designed for CENTRAL (Appendix 1). Where appropriate, these will be combined with subject strategy adaptations of the highly sensitive search strategy designed by Cochrane for identifying randomised controlled trials and controlled clinical trials (as described in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* Version 5.1.0, Box 6.4.b. (Handbook 2011)).

Searching other resources

We will scan the reference lists of identified publications for additional trials and contact trial authors if necessary. In addition, the Information Specialist will search PubMed, TRIPdatabase, *The Cochrane Library* and Google to retrieve existing systematic reviews relevant to this systematic review, so that we can scan their reference lists for additional trials. We will search for conference abstracts using the Cochrane ENT Trials Register and EMBASE.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (IT, PW) will independently perform study selection based on predetermined eligibility criteria. After the duplicates from the search have been removed, we will screen the titles and abstracts. We will obtain and screen the full texts of selected studies. We will resolve any disagreements over study selection by consensus after discussion. The third author (SA) will adjudicate if necessary.

Data extraction and management

Two review authors (IT, PW) will collect data independently according to the recommendations of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* and enter data onto a predetermined data collection spreadsheet. We will use a standard form created for this review to extract the following information from each included study:

- Study design (RCT/quasi-RCT/cluster-RCT; parallel/crossover)
 - Unit of randomisation
 - Unit of analysis
 - Setting
 - Inclusion criteria for the study
 - Exclusion criteria for the study
 - Age
 - Gender

- Duration of tinnitus symptoms (acute versus chronic)
- Glutamate receptor antagonist agent
- Dosage
- Route of administration
- Type of comparator
- Duration of intervention
- Duration of follow-up
- Details of co-interventions
- The number of participants in each arm
- Baseline, post-intervention and follow-up questionnaire scores and results of statistical analysis
 - Adverse events
 - Amount of missing data
 - Sources of funding

We will resolve any disagreements over data by using the adjudication of the third author (KS) if necessary.

We will approach study authors for unpublished information that is missing from reports of the included studies and any additional information required for completion of the data extraction.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

IT and SA will undertake assessment of the risk of bias of the included trials independently, with the following taken into consideration, as guided by the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Handbook 2011):

- sequence generation;
- allocation concealment;
- blinding;
- incomplete outcome data;
- selective outcome reporting; and
- other sources of bias.

We will use the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool in RevMan 5.3 (RevMan 2014), which involves describing each of these domains as reported in the trial and then assigning a judgement about the adequacy of each entry: 'low', 'high' or 'unclear' risk of bias. We will define overall high risk of bias as having a high risk of bias for at least one of blinding, incomplete outcome data or selective outcome reporting.

Measures of treatment effect

We will assess improvement in tinnitus and quality of life using the mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) if the outcomes are measured in the same way. If not, we will use the standardised mean difference (SMD). Change scores will be preferred. However, final values can be used when there is no difference between groups in baseline values. For adverse effects of glutamate receptor antagonists, we will calculate the risk ratio (RR) with 95% CI.

Unit of analysis issues

For parallel-group RCTs the unit of analysis will be the group mean. We will analyse cluster-randomised trials based on the level of allocation, i.e. clusters of patients. For cross-over trials we will only include data from the first period (i.e. pre-cross-over). For multi-arm trials, we will select any comparisons defined in the protocol where data from two arms (glutamate receptor antagonists versus comparator) are collected at the same time.

Dealing with missing data

We will contact corresponding authors by email to request missing study details and data. We will base the analyses on intention-to-treat. We will impute the missing data with replacement values and treated them as if they were observed. For continuous outcomes, the last observation will be carried forward. For adverse events, we will carry out an available case analysis. For other dichotomous outcomes (not adverse events), we will apply the assumption that all patients with missing data do not have an event. For missing standard deviations, we will use either 95% confidence intervals (CIs), interquartile ranges or standard errors for estimation to impute standard deviations.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We will assess the heterogeneity between studies by inspecting forest plots and using the Chi² test with an alpha of 0.05 and the I² statistic (Handbook 2011). If confidence intervals for the results of individual studies have poor overlap, this generally indicates the presence of heterogeneity. I² values of 25%, 50% and 75% correspond to low, medium and high levels of heterogeneity, respectively.

Assessment of reporting biases

We will create a funnel plot to assess the potential for publication bias if we can include at least 10 studies in the meta-analyses.

Data synthesis

We will use RevMan 5.3 to carry out the meta-analyses for comparable trials and outcomes. We will pool data using a fixed-effect model if there is no heterogeneity among studies. We will use a random-effects model if heterogeneity cannot be ruled out. We will only pool data from different questionnaires where there is evidence of good convergent validity.

We will stratify studies into four comparisons:

- glutamate receptor antagonists versus placebo;
- glutamate receptor antagonists versus no treatment;
- glutamate receptor antagonists versus sound therapy (hearing aids, sound generators, TRT);
- glutamate receptor antagonists versus psychotherapy (CBT, counselling, education/information only).

We will initially combine studies with any dosage, any route and any duration of treatment. We will pool data from two arms that are of interest (as per comparison) from studies with multiple arms. We will present different types of data as per outcomes. We will present dichotomous data as a risk ratio with its 95% confidence interval. We will present continuous data as the mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence interval (CI) if the outcomes are measured in the same way. If not, we will present them as the standardised mean difference (SMD).

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Where heterogeneity is identified we will explore the following possible sources: duration of tinnitus, dose of drug, method of administration and comparator.

- Duration: whether tinnitus is acute (less than six months since onset) or chronic (six months or more since onset).
- Dosage: half of the usual dose, the usual dose and a double dose of glutamate receptor antagonists have been studied for the treatment of tinnitus. We will therefore perform subgroup analysis with three subgroups: 'low dose' versus 'usual dose' versus 'high dose'.
- Acamprosate: less than 666 mg versus 666 mg versus greater than 666 mg three times per day.
- Caroverine: less than 40 mg versus 40 mg to 80 mg versus greater than 80 mg daily.
- Memantine: less than 5 mg versus 5 mg to 90 mg versus greater than 90 daily.
- Flupirtine: less than 100 mg versus 100 mg to 200mg versus greater than 200 mg three times per day.
- Method of administration: subgroup analyses will compare the effects of oral, intravenous or topical administration.
 - Different types of comparator using alternative treatment.

Sensitivity analysis

We will perform sensitivity analysis to explore the robustness of our assumptions from the data. We will perform sensitivity analysis to test whether study risk of bias affects the data by excluding studies with high risk of bias from the meta-analyses and assessing any difference in the overall results. We will define overall high risk of bias as having a high risk of bias for at least one of blinding, incomplete outcome data or selective outcome reporting.

GRADE and 'Summary of findings'

At least two independent authors will use the GRADE approach to assess the overall quality of evidence. The quality of evidence reflects the extent to which we are confident that an estimate of effect is correct and we will apply this in the interpretation of results. There are four possible ratings: high, moderate, low and very low. A rating of high quality of evidence implies that we are confident in our estimate of effect and that further research is very

unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. A rating of very low quality implies that any estimate of effect obtained is very uncertain.

The GRADE approach rates evidence from RCTs that do not have serious limitations as high quality. However, several factors can lead to the downgrading of the evidence to moderate, low or very low. The degree of downgrading is determined by the seriousness of these factors:

- study limitations (risk of bias);
- inconsistency;
- indirectness of evidence;
- imprecision; and
- publication bias.

We will include a 'Summary of findings' table, constructed according to the recommendations described in Chapter 11 of

the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Handbook 2011). The outcomes that we will present in the 'Summary of findings' table are: tinnitus-specific and health-related quality of life, generalised anxiety and depression, and adverse events.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported by the National Institute for Health Research, via Cochrane Infrastructure, Cochrane Programme Grant or Cochrane Incentive funding to Cochrane ENT. The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Systematic Reviews Programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

REFERENCES

Additional references

Adjamian 2009

Adjamian P, Sereda M, Hall DA. The mechanisms of tinnitus: perspectives from human functional neuroimaging. *Hearing Research* 2009;**253**:15–31.

Akirav 2007

Akirav I. NMDA partial agonist reverses blocking of extinction of aversive memory by GABA(A) agonist in the amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007;**32**(3):542–50.

Andersson 2009

Andersson G. Tinnitus patients with cognitive problems: causes and possible treatments. *Hearing Journal* 2009;**62**: 27–8.30.

Azevedo 2007

Azevedo AA, Figueiredo RR. Treatment of tinnitus with acamprosate. *Progress in Brain Research* 2007;**166**:273–7.

Biesinger 2011

Biesinger E, Del Bo L, De Ridder D, Goodey R, Herraiz C, Kleinjung T, et al. Algorithm for the diagnostic & therapeutic management of tinnitus. http://www.tinnitusresearch.org/en/documents/downloads/TRI_Tinnitus_Flowchart.pdf (accessed 19 June 2012) 2011.

Buss 1998

Buss E, Hall III JW, Grose JH, Hatch DR. Perceptual consequences of peripheral hearing loss: do edge effects exist for abrupt cochlear lesions? . *Hearing Research* 1998; **125**:98–108.

Chizh 2005

Chizh BA, Headley PM. NMDA antagonists and neuropathic pain-multiple drug targets and multiple uses. Current Pharmaceutical Design 2005;11(23):2977–94.

Choi 1990

Choi DW, Rothman SM. The role of glutamate neurotoxicity in hypoxic-ischemic neuronal death. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 1990;**13**:171–82.

Clifford 1990

Clifford DB, Olney JW, Benz AM, Fuller TA, Zorumski CF. Ketamine, phencyclidine, and MK-801 protect against kainic acid-induced seizure-related brain damage. *Epilepsia* 1990;**31**(4):382–90.

Crönlein 2007

Crönlein T, Langguth B, Geisler P, Hajak G. Tinnitus and insomnia. *Progress in Brain Research* 2007;**166**:227–33.

Davis 2000

Davis A, El Rafaie A. Epidemiology of tinnitus. In: Tyler R editor(s). *Tinnitus Handbook*. San Diego: Singular Publishing Group, 2000.

Denk 1997

Denk DM, Heinzl H, Franz P, Ehrenberger K. Caroverine in tinnitus treatment. A placebo-controlled blind study. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* 1997;**117**(6):825–30.

Department of Health 2009

Department of Health. *Provision of Services for Adults with Tinnitus. A Good Practice Guide.* London: Central Office of Information, 2009.

Dietrich 2001

Dietrich V, Nieschalk M, Stoll W, Rajan R, Pantev C. Cortical reorganization in patients with high frequency cochlear hearing loss. *Hearing Research* 2001;**158**:95–101.

Dong 2010

Dong S, Rodger J, Mulders WH, Robertson D. Tonotopic changes in GABA receptor expression in guinea pig inferior colliculus after partial unilateral hearing loss. *Brain Research* 2010;**1342**:24–32.

Eggermont 2004

Eggermont JJ, Roberts LE. The neuroscience of tinnitus. *Trends in Neuroscience* 2004;**27**:676–82.

Eggermont 2010

Eggermont J, Roberts L, Caspary D, Shore S, Melcher J, Kaltenbach J. Ringing ears: the neuroscience of tinnitus. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2010;**30**:14972–9.

Ehrenberger 1995

Ehrenberger K, Felix D. Receptor pharmacological models for inner ear therapies with emphasis on glutamate receptors: a survey. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* 1995;**115**(2):236–40.

Ehrenberger 2005

Ehrenberger K. Topical administration of caroverine in somatic tinnitus treatment: proof-of-concept study. *International Tinnitus Journal* 2005;11:34.

El-Shunnar 2011

El-Shunnar S, Hoare DJ, Smith S, Gander PE, Kang S, Fackrell K, et al. Primary care for tinnitus: practice and opinion among GPs in England. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 2011;17:684–92.

Elgoyhen 2010

Elgoyhen AB, Langguth B. Pharmacological approaches to the treatment of tinnitus. *Drug Discovery Today* 2010;**15**(7/8):300–5.

Engineer 2011

Engineer ND, Riley JR, Seale JD, Vrana WA, Shetake JA, Sudanagunta SP, et al. Reversing pathological neural activity using targeted plasticity. *Nature* 2011;**470**:101–4.

Figueiredo 2008

Figueiredo RR, Langguth B, Mello de Oliveira P, Aparecida de Azevedo A. Tinnitus treatment with memantine.

Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 2008;138(4):492–6.

Fowler 1944

Fowler EP. Head noises in normal and disordered ears: significance, measurement, differentiation and treatment. *Archives of Otolaryngology* 1944;**39**:490–503.

Guitton 2003

Guitton MJ, Caston J, Ruel J, Johnson RM, Pujol R, Puel J-L. Salicylate induces tinnitus through activation of cochlear NMDA receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2003; **23**:3944–52.

Hahn 2008

Hahn A, Radkova R, Achiemere G, Klement V, Alpini D, Strouhal J. Multimodal therapy for chronic tinnitus. *International Tinnitus Journal* 2008;**14**:69–71.

Hallam 1996

Hallam RS. *Manual of the Tinnitus Questionnaire*. London: The Psychological Corporation, Brace & Co, 1996.

Han 2009

Han BI, Lee HW, Kim TY, Lim JS, Shin KS. Tinnitus: characteristics, causes, mechanisms, and treatments. *Journal of Clinical Neurology* 2009;5:11–9.

Handbook 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S (editors). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Henry 2004

Henry JA, Snow JB (editors). *Tinnitus: Theory and Management*. Ontario: BC Becker Inc, 2004.

Henry 200

Henry JA, Dennis KC, Schechter MA. General review of tinnitus: prevalence, mechanisms, effects, and management. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research* 2005;**48**: 1204–35.

Herrmann 1986

Herrmann WM, Kern U, Aigner M. On the adverse reactions and efficacy of long-term treatment with flupirtine: preliminary results of an ongoing twelve-month study with 200 patients suffering from chronic pain states in arthrosis or arthritis. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 1986;**63**:87–103.

Hiller 2006

Hiller W, Goebel G. Factors influencing tinnitus loudness and annoyance. *Archives of Otolaryngology -- Head and Neck Surgery* 2006;**132**:1323–30.

Hoare 2011

Hoare DJ, Kowalkowski V, Kang S, Hall DA. Systematic review and meta-analyses of RCTs examining tinnitus management. *Laryngoscope* 2011;**121**:1555–64.

Hoare 2012

Hoare DJ, Gander P, Collins L, Smith S, Hall DA. Management of tinnitus in English NHS Audiology Departments: an evaluation of current practice. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 2012;**18**:326–34.

Hoare 2014

Hoare DJ, Edmondson-Jones M, Sereda M, Akeroyd MA, Hall D. Amplification with hearing aids for patients with tinnitus and co-existing hearing loss. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD010151.pub2]

Hobson 2010

Hobson J, Chisholm E, El Refaie A. Sound therapy (masking) in the management of tinnitus in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 12. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006371.pub2]

Jastreboff 1988

Jastreboff PJ, Brennan JF, Coleman JK, Sasaki CT. Phantom auditory sensation in rats: an animal model for tinnitus. Behavioral Neuroscience 1988;102:811–22.

Jastreboff 1990

Jastreboff PJ. Phantom auditory perception (tinnitus): mechanisms of generation and perception. *Neuroscience Research* 1990;**8**:221–54.

Jastreboff 2004

Jastreboff PJ, Hazell JWP. Tinnitus Retraining Therapy. Implementing the Neurophysiological Model. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.

Kelly 2000

Kelly JB, Kidd SA. NMDA and AMPA receptors in the dorsal nucleus of the lateral lemniscus shape binaural

responses in rat inferior colliculus. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 2000;**83**:1403–14.

Kluk 2006

Kluk K, Moore BCJ. Dead regions in the cochlea and enhancement of frequency discrimination: effects of audiogram slope, unilateral versus bilateral loss, and hearing-aid use. *Hearing Research* 2006;**221**:1–15.

Kornhuber 1999

Kornhuber J, Bleich S, Wiltfang J, Maler M, Parsons CG. Flupirtine shows functional NMDA receptor antagonism by enhancing Mg2+ block via activation of voltage independent potassium channels. Rapid communication. *Journal of Neural Transmission* 1999;**106**(9-10):857–67.

Kuk 1990

Kuk FK, Tyler RS, Russell D, Jordan H. The psychometric properties of a Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire. *Ear and Hearing* 1990;**11**:434–45.

König 2006

König O, Schaette R, Kempter R, Gross M. Course of hearing loss and occurrence of tinnitus. *Hearing Research* 2006;**221**:59–64.

Lancelot 1998

Lancelot E, Beal MF. Glutamate toxicity in chronic neurodegenerative disease. *Progress in Brain Research* 1998; **116**:331–47.

Marciano 2003

Marciano E, Varrabba L, Giannini P, Sementina C, Verde P, Bruno C, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity in a population of outpatients affected by tinnitus. *International Journal of Audiology* 2003;**42**:4–9.

Martines 2010

Martines F, Bentivegna D, Di Piazza F, Martines E, Sciacca V, Martinciglio G. Investigation of tinnitus patients in Italy: clinical and audiological characteristics. International Journal of Otolaryngology 2010 Jun 23 [Epub ahead of print].

Martinez-Devesa 2010

Martinez-Devesa P, Perera R, Theodoulou M, Waddell A. Cognitive behavioural therapy for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 9. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005233.pub3]

McDermott 1998

McDermott HJ, Lech M. Loudness perception and frequency discrimination in subjects with steeply sloping hearing loss: possible correlates of neural plasticity. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 1998;**104**:2314–25.

Meikle 2012

Meikle MB, Henry JA, Griest SE, Stewart BJ, Abrams HB, McArdle R, et al. The Tinnitus Functional Index: development of a new clinical measure for chronic, intrusive tinnitus. *Ear and Hearing* 2012;**33**:153–76.

Melcher 2013

Melcher JR, Knudson IM, Levine RA. Subcallosal brain structure: correlation with hearing threshold at supraclinical frequencies (>8 kHz), but not with tinnitus. *Hearing Research* 2013;**295**:79–86. [DOI: 10.1016/j.heares.2012.03.013]

Middleton 2011

Middleton JW, Kiritanid T, Pedersen C, Turner JG, Shepherd GMG, Tzounopoulos T. Mice with behavioral evidence of tinnitus exhibit dorsal cochlear nucleus hyperactivity because of decreased GABAergic inhibition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 2011;**108**: 7601–6

Moore 2009

Moore BCJ, Vinay SN. Enhanced discrimination of low-frequency sounds for subjects with high-frequency dead regions. *Brain* 2009;**132**:524–36.

Mulders 2010

Mulders WH, Seluakumaran K, Robertson D. Efferent pathways modulate hyperactivity in inferior colliculus. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2010;**30**:9578–87.

Myers 2011

Myers KM, Carlezon WA Jr, Davis M. Glutamate receptors in extinction and extinction-based therapies for psychiatric illness. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2011;**36**(1):274–93.

Møller 2000

Møller AR. Similarities between severe tinnitus and chronic pain. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology* 2000; **11**:115–24.

Mühlnickel 1998

Mühlnickel W, Elbert T, Taub E, Flor H. Reorganization of auditory cortex in tinnitus (plasticity magnetic source imaging). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1998;95:10340–3.

Newman 1996

Newman CW, Jacobson GP, Spitzer JB. Development of the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory. *Archives of Otolaryngology -- Head and Neck Surgery* 1996;**122**:143–8.

Noreña 2005

Noreña AJ, Eggermont JJ. Enriched acoustic environment after noise trauma reduces hearing loss and prevents cortical map reorganization. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2005;25: 699–705.

Noreña 2011

Noreña AJ. An integrative model of tinnitus based on a central gain controlling neural sensitivity. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 2011;**35**:1089–109.

Phillips 2010

Phillips JS, McFerran D. Tinnitus Retraining Therapy (TRT) for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2010, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007330.pub2]

Pilati 2012

Pilati N, Large C, Forsythe ID, Hamann M. Acoustic overexposure triggers burst firing in dorsal cochlear nucleus fusiform cells. *Hearing Research* 2012;**283**:98–106.

Puel 1998

Puel JL, Ruel J, Gervais d'Aldin C, Pujol R. Excitotoxicity and repair of cochlear synapses after noise-trauma induced hearing loss. *Neuroreport* 1998;**9**(9):2109–14.

Pujol 1993

Pujol R, Puel JL, Gervais d'Aldin C, Eybalin M. Pathophysiology of the glutamatergic synapses in the cochlea. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* 1993;**113**(3):330–4.

Quint 2002

Quint C, Temmel AF, Hummel T, Ehrenberger K. The quinoxaline derivative caroverine in the treatment of sensorineural smell disorders: a proof-of-concept study. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica* 2002;**122**:877–81.

Ratnayake 2009

Ratnayake SA, Jayarajan V, Bartlett J. Could an underlying hearing loss be a significant factor in the handicap caused by tinnitus?. *Noise and Health* 2009;11:156–60.

Rauschecker 1999

Rauschecker JP. Auditory cortical plasticity: a comparison with other sensory systems. *Trends in Neurosciences* 1999; 22:74–80

Rauschecker 2010

Rauschecker JP, Leaver AM, Mühlau M. Tuning out the noise: limbic-auditory interaction in tinnitus. *Neuron* 2010;**66**:819–26.

RevMan 2014 [Computer program]

Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). Version 5.3. Copenhagen: Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

Rief 2005

Rief W, Weise C, Kley N, Martin A. Psychophysiologic treatment of chronic tinnitus: a randomized clinical trial. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 2005;**67**:833–8.

Sahley 2001

Sahley TL, Nodar RH. A biochemical model of peripheral tinnitus. *Hearing Research* 2001;**152**:43–54.

Salembier 2006

Salembier L, De Ridder D, Van de Heyning PH. The use of flupirtine in treatment of tinnitus. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*. *Supplement* 2006;**556**:93–5.

Sanchez 2002

Sanchez TG, Ferrari GMS. The control of tinnitus through hearing aids: suggestions for optimal use [O controle do zumbido por meio de prótese auditiva: sugestões para otimização do uso]. *Pró-Fono Revista de Atualização Científica* 2002;**14**:111–8.

Sass 1996

Sass H, Soyka M, Mann K, Zieglgänsberger W. Relapse prevention by acamprosate: results from a placebo-controlled study on alcohol dependence. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1996;**53**:673–80.

Schaette 2006

Schaette R, Kempter R. Development of tinnitus-related neuronal hyperactivity through homeostatic plasticity after hearing loss: a computational model. European Journal of Neuroscience 2006;23:3124–38.

Schaette 2011

Schaette R, McAlpine D. Tinnitus with a normal audiogram: physiological evidence for hidden hearing loss and computational model. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2011;**31**: 13452–7.

Seki 2003

Seki S, Eggermont JJ. Changes in spontaneous firing rate and neural synchrony in cat primary auditory cortex after localized tone-induced hearing loss. *Hearing Research* 2003; **180**:28–38.

Sperk 1994

Sperk G. Kainic acid seizures in the rat. *Progress in Neurobiology* 1994;**42**(1):1–32.

Sweetow 1990

Sweetow RW, Levy MC. Tinnitus severity scaling for diagnostic/therapeutic usage. *Hearing Instruments* 1990;**41**: 20-1, 46.

Tass 2012

Tass PA, Adamchic I, Freund H-J, von Stackelberg T, Hauptmann C. Counteracting tinnitus by acoustic coordinated reset neuromodulation. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience* 2012;**30**:137–59.

Thai-Van 2002

Thai-Van H, Micheyl C, Noreña A, Collet L. Local improvement in auditory frequency discrimination is associated with hearing loss slope in subjects with cochlear damage. *Brain* 2002;**125**:524–37.

Thai-Van 2003

Thai-Van H, Micheyl C, Moore BCJ, Collet L. Enhanced frequency discrimination near the hearing loss cut-off: a consequence of central auditory plasticity induced by cochlear damage?. *Brain* 2003;**126**:2235–45.

Tunkel 2014

Tunkel DE, Bauer CA, Sun GH, Rosenfeld RM, Chandrasekhar SS, Cunningham ER Jr, et al. Clinical practice guideline: tinnitus executive summary. Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery 2014;**151**(4): 533–41.

Udilova 2003

Udilova N, Kozlov AV, Bieberschulte W, Frei K, Ehrenberger K, Nohl H. The antioxidant activity of caroverine. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 2003;**65**(1):59-65.

Vanneste 2012

Vanneste S, De Ridder D. The auditory and non-auditory brain areas involved in tinnitus. An emergent property of multiple parallel overlapping subnetworks. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 2012;**6**:31.

Weisz 2005

Weisz N, Moratti S, Meinzer M, Dohrmann K, Elbert T. Tinnitus perception and distress is related to abnormal spontaneous brain activity as measured by magnetoencephalography. *PLoS Medicine* 2005;**2**:e153.

Wilson 1991

Wilson PH, Henry J, Bowen M, Haralambous G. Tinnitus Reaction Questionnaire: psychometric properties of a measure of distress associated with tinnitus. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research* 1991;**34**:197–201.

Zushida 2007

Zushida K, Sakurai M, Wada K, Sekiguchi M. Facilitation of extinction learning for contextual fear memory by PEPA: a potentiator of AMPA receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience* 2007;**27**(1):158–66.

ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table 1. Tinnitus questionnaires

Questionnaire (author, year)	Number of items and subscales	Psychometric properties
Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (Newman 1996)	25 items, 3 subscales	a = 0.93 for total scale
Tinnitus Functional Index (Meikle 2012)	25 items, 8 subscales	a = 0.97 for total scale
Tinnitus Handicap Questionnaire (Kuk 1990)	27 items, 3 subscales	a = 0.93 for total scale
Tinnitus Questionnaire (Hallam 1996)	52 items, 5 subscales	a = 0.91 for total scale; for subscales a = 0.76 to a = 0.94
Tinnitus Reaction Questionnaire (Wilson 1991)	26 items, 4 subscales	a = 0.96 and a test-retest correlation of r = 0.88
Tinnitus Severity Scale (Sweetow 1990)	15 items	Not reported

^{*} Indicates the major publication for the study

APPENDICES

Appendix I. CRS Online and PubMed search strategy

#17 (acamprosate or daidzin or Disulfiram or metadoxine):TI, AB,KY

#18 (Amlodipine or Amrinone or anandamide or anipamil or azimilide or Bencyclane or benidipine or Bepridil or berbamine or canadine or carboxyamido or caroverin* or cilnidipine or Cinnarizine or clentiazem or clevidipine or Conotoxins or "Conus magus toxin" or darodipine or dauricine or devapamil or Diltiazem or dotarizine or efonidipine or emopamil or gabapentin or Gallopamil or enpiperate or eperisone or falipamil or fantofarone or fasudil or Felodipine or fenamic acid or Fendiline or Flunarizine or fosfedil or Isradipine or lacidipine or lamotrigine or lercanidipine or Lidoflazine or lomerizine or Magnesium or manidipine or manoalide or mepirodipine or Mibefradil or monatepil or naftopidil or Nicardipine or Nifedipine or niguldipine or niludipin or nilvadipine or Nimodipine or Nisoldipine or Nitrendipine or norverapamil or ochratoxin OR octylonium or Agatoxin or Conotoxin or osthol or oxodipine or Perhexiline or pinaverium or piperidine or pranidipine or pregabalin or Prenylamine or risedronic or ryodipine or sesamodil or stepholidine or temiverine or terodiline or tetrahydropalmatine or tetrandrine or Tiapamil or tolfenamic or tranilast or Verapamil or ziconotide): TI,AB,KY

#19 (AP5 or APV or DXM or DXO or MK-801 or Endabuse or Vadilex or INN or Ketalar or ketaset or ketanest or calipsol or kalipsol or calypsol or KYNA or KYN or USAN or fycompa or PCP or "Angel dust" or Fenciclidina or Phencyclidinum or Baclofen or Aminalon* or Gammalon or Neramexane or Flupirtine or serynyl or serylan or spadon or axura or akatinol or abixa or memox or phosphonovaleric or phosphonopentanoate or Methylmorphinan or Methoxyibogamine or Namenda or Ebixa or Axura or aminoadamantane or dimethyladamantane or acetylhomotaurine or AOTA campral or zule):TI,AB,KY

#20 (gamma and Aminobutyric):TI,AB,KY

#21 (calcium and block*):TI,AB,KY

#22 ((amino* or oxyacetic or Aminobutyric) and acid*):TI,AB, KY

#23 ((neurotransmi* or excitato*) and antagonist*):TI,AB,KY #24 #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR #19 OR #20 OR #21 OR #22 OR #23 #25 #3 AND #24

azole[Title/Abstract] OR Clonazepam[Title/Abstract] OR "Clorazepate Dipotassium"[Title/Abstract] OR Clozapine[Title/Abstract] OR Diazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Estazolam[Title/Abstract] OR Flumazenil[Title/Abstract] OR Flunitrazepam[Title/ Abstract] OR Flurazepam[Title/Abstract] OR gabazine[Title/Abstract] OR gaboxadol[Title/Abstract] OR Hexobarbital[Title/ Abstract] OR imidazenil[Title/Abstract] OR indiplon[Title/Abstract] OR isoguvacine[Title/Abstract] OR lesogaberan[Title/Abstract] OR Lorazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Medazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Mephobarbital[Title/Abstract] OR Midazolam[Title/ Abstract] OR Muscimol[Title/Abstract] OR nimetazepam[Title/ Abstract] OR Nitrazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Nordazepam[Title/ Abstract] OR Oxazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Pentobarbital[Title/ Pentylenetetrazole[Title/Abstract] OR phaclofen[Title/Abstract] OR phenazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Phenobarbital[Title/Abstract] OR phetharbital[Title/ Abstract] OR Picrotoxin[Title/Abstract] OR picrotoxinin[Title/ Abstract] OR peperidine[Title/Abstract] OR Prazepam[Title/Abstract] OR progabide[Title/Abstract] OR saclofen[Title/Abstract] OR Secobarbital[Title/Abstract] OR Temazepam[Title/Abstract] OR Thiamylal[Title/Abstract] OR Thiopental[Title/Abstract] OR tiagabine[Title/Abstract] OR tramiprosate[Title/Abstract] OR Triazolam[Title/Abstract] OR valproic[Title/Abstract] OR Vigabatrin[Title/Abstract] OR zaleplon[Title/Abstract] OR Zolazepam[Title/Abstract] OR zolpidem[Title/Abstract])

OR APV[Title/Abstract] (AP5[Title/Abstract] ORDXM[Title/Abstract] OR DXO[Title/Abstract] OR MK-801[Title/Abstract] OR Endabuse[Title/Abstract] OR Vadilex[Title/ Abstract] OR INN[Title/Abstract] OR Ketalar[Title/Abstract] OR ketaset[Title/Abstract] OR ketanest[Title/Abstract] OR calipsol[Title/Abstract] OR kalipsol[Title/Abstract] OR calypsol[Title/Abstract] OR KYNA[Title/Abstract] OR KYN[Title/ Abstract] OR USAN[Title/Abstract] OR fycompa[Title/Abstract] OR PCP[Title/Abstract] OR "Angel dust" [Title/Abstract] OR Fenciclidina[Title/Abstract] OR Phencyclidinum[Title/Abstract] OR Baclofen[Title/Abstract] OR Aminalon*[Title/Abstract] OR Gammalon[Title/Abstract] OR Neramexane[Title/Abstract] OR Flupirtine[Title/Abstract] OR serynyl[Title/Abstract] OR serylan[Title/Abstract] OR spadon[Title/Abstract] OR axura[Title/ Abstract] OR akatinol[Title/Abstract] OR abixa[Title/Abstract] OR memox[Title/Abstract] OR phosphonovaleric[Title/Abstract] OR phosphonopentanoate[Title/Abstract] OR Methylmorphinan[Title/Abstract] OR Methoxyibogamine[Title/Abstract] OR Namenda[Title/Abstract] OR Ebixa[Title/Abstract] OR Axura[Title/Abstract] OR aminoadamantane[Title/Abstract] OR dimethyladamantane[Title/Abstract] OR acetylhomotaurine[Title/Abstract] OR AOTA campral[Title/Abstract] OR zule[Title/Abstract])

#19 (gamma[Title/Abstract] AND Aminobutyric[Title/Abstract])
#20 (calcium[Title/Abstract] AND block*[Title/Abstract])
#21 ((amino*[Title/Abstract] OR oxyacetic[Title/Abstract] OR
Aminobutyric[Title/Abstract])) AND acid*[Title/Abstract]
#22 ((neurotransmi*[Title/Abstract] OR excitato*[Title/Abstract])) AND antagonist*[Title/Abstract]
#23 (#4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11
OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18 OR
#19 OR #2- OR #21 OR#22)
#24 #3 AND #23

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Drafting the protocol: Imsuwansri T, Hoare DJ, Snidvongs K

Obtaining copies of studies: Imsuwansri T

Selecting which studies to include: Imsuwansri T, Phaisaltuntiwongs W

Extracting data from studies: Imsuwansri T, Snidvongs K

Assessing risk of bias: Imsuwansri T, Srisubat A

Entering data into RevMan: Imsuwansri T, Phaisaltuntiwongs W, Srisubat A

Carrying out the analysis: Imsuwansri T, Snidvongs K

Interpreting the analysis: Imsuwansri T, Srisubat A, Snidvongs K

Drafting the final review: Imsuwansri T, Hoare DJ, Snidvongs K

Updating the review: Imsuwansri T, Snidvongs K

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Imsuwansri T: none known

Phaisaltuntiwongs W: none known

Srisubat A: none known

Hoare DJ: none known

Snidvongs K: none known

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• No sources of support supplied

External sources

• National Institute for Health Research, UK. Infrastructure funding for Cochrane ENT